



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,597	06/26/2003	Brian R. Pollock	STL11000/9672-117	5022
73463 7590 01/21/2009 Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A. P.O. Box 37428 Raleigh, NC 27627				
EXAMINER				
TSAL SHENG JEN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2186				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/21/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/606,597

**Applicant(s)**

POLLOCK ET AL.

**Examiner**

SHENG-JEN TSAI

**Art Unit**

2186

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SHENG-JEN TSAI.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David C. Hall (Reg. No.38,904).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Heath (US 5,570,332).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Participants discussed applicants' proposed amendments on claim 1 and applicants further explained the difference between the instant application and Heath's invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sheng-Jen Tsai/  
Partial Signatory Examiner, Art Unit 2186